Forage Monitoring and Utilization¹

INTRODUCTION

The Arizona Game and Fish Department serves the people of Arizona as steward of the state's wildlife. Basically, this means that the department must manage Arizona's wildlife populations to keep them at a size that is of greatest benefit to the animals and their habitats, as well as to humans. For example, some animals, like the bald eagle, need protection to help them increase their population size, while other animals, like the cactus wren, do well without any special considerations. Then there are the animals that challenge the department just to keep their population in balance. Elk are a perfect example.

Elk are beautiful animals whose bugling calls can be heard up to a mile away. They are an important part of Arizona's natural landscape. However, their voracious appetites can get them into trouble. When



an elk herd gets too large, their grazing behavior can severely damage sensitive grassland and riparian ecosystems. It is the department's responsibility not only to ensure that elk endure in our state, but also to keep them from harming their habitat. To succeed, the department must take into account numerous political, social, scientific and economic factors, as well as all the laws that apply to public lands (e.g., national forests and parks) and private lands (e.g., ranches and golf courses). For example, how do you increase opportunities for hunters,

wildlife watchers, and other outdoor recreationists without harming the various habitats? And how do you work with local landowners to make sure habitats remain healthy?

With so much depending on the outcome, the department must have reliable data to use when making such important management decisions. To provide reliable data, the department's biologists must be able to measure elk population levels as accurately as possible, which can be difficult when you're working with an animal that can range across miles in a day. Fortunately, biologists have developed a method to indirectly measure the population of elk, at least in one part of the state.

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

To improve elk management decisions, the department has developed a method for measuring how much forage elk are eating. The method is called the "forage utilization monitoring program." Its purpose is to estimate elk population levels by measuring the amount of vegetation elk consume. This information helps the department determine if an elk population is too large, too small, or at just the right level. Forage

utilization can be measured in several ways, each appropriate to a particular locale. In the department's Region 1 (located in eastern Arizona), grasses, sedges and other forage species are collected and measured following specific procedures to assure consistency in results. The resulting data are used to evaluate habitat impacts and improve recommendations regarding elk management.



The first step in the monitoring process is to define the habitat that needs to be measured. Some plants are not grazed because they are unpalatable to elk. Habitats that contain these plants are not as important to measure. Therefore, monitoring is based on

the "key area" concept. With this approach, key areas are selected that represent the habitats that produce the most palatable and abundant vegetation, and consequently receive the heaviest grazing pressure by elk. If forage utilization is within acceptable limits in the key areas, it is assumed that it will also be acceptable in areas that produce less palatable or less abundant forage.

A typical key area monitoring site is a vegetative community such as a riparian or wet meadow area, a dry meadow or grassland, or an opening in a forest or woodland. For monitoring purposes, the area must be larger than five acres to ensure that elk will use it without fear or hesitation. To evaluate the effect of elk grazing on the total vegetative community, cages are placed on specific plots to keep elk away from them. Forage production is obtained by measuring the undisturbed herbaceous growth within the cage. Forage utilization is calculated by comparing the grazed area (outside the cage) to the ungrazed area (inside the cage). Cages are moved every year so that only the current year's forage is measured.

Careful consideration must be given to the construction and placement of the cages to protect the plants they enclose and minimize the impact of data collection. Cages should be square, they should cover a minimum of one square meter of area, and they should be tall enough to prevent grazing by elk. They also need to have an open-mesh design to ensure that microclimate conditions (e.g., shading effect, moisture retention, etc.) within the cage are not affected.

All palatable perennial plants are measured. Non-native species are included because many key areas are dominated by non-native species that are heavily foraged by elk. When a key area contains several types of vegetation (e.g., grasses, sedges, forbs, etc.), a sample is required for each "dominant" type (those that cover at least 10 percent of the area). Some key areas may require as many as three cages to obtain samples of all the types of vegetation present. A cage is placed over a sample of each type of vegetation at a location that will provide an average representation of forage diversity, density and

vigor. For best results, cages should not be placed in areas where cattle graze, because there will be no way to find out if the forage usage is the result of elk or cattle.

Arizona is a large state with a wide diversity of habitats. To simplify wildlife management, the department has divided the state into six regions, each comprised of smaller areas called Game Management Units (GMUs). Elk inhabit a number of these GMUs. Because their population size, behaviors and impacts on resources vary from unit to



unit, management decisions will also vary. Key areas need to be identified and monitored in each GMU to assure that these decisions are based on valid data. Each GMU should have from 10 to 15 monitoring sites. If any sites are compromised during the year (e.g., a cage is removed or destroyed) and the total number falls below seven, the data cannot be used in making management decisions because the small sample size can affect validity. The data will still be collected, however, to track population trends.

DATA COLLECTION PROTOCOL

The protocol below was established to guarantee valid results. All data are to be recorded on the Forage Monitoring Record.

- 1. Identify a number of key areas within the GMU.
- 2. Identify the dominant herbaceous forage species present in each vegetation type within each key area.
- 3. Select a representative site for each dominant vegetation type within the key area. Place a cage around each of these sites.

- 4. Establish a permanent photo point within the key area to provide a photo record of overall forage production and utilization conditions during the monitoring period. Use a rebar stake or fence post to mark the photo point location. Photos will be taken from the photo point during each subsequent monitoring period.
- 5. Photograph each cage using a chalkboard or dry-erase board to display the cage number and date.
- 6. Use a Global Positioning System (GPS) unit to accurately determine the location of each cage.
- 7. Include a sketch of the key area that illustrates the location of the dominant vegetation types, cages and photo points.
- 8. Estimate and record the percent of each vegetation type sampled within the key area.
- 9. At each site, remove the cage and place the plot ring (0.96 square foot) over a representative sample of the protected forage.
- 10. Using scissors, clip perennial forage species of grasses, grass-like plants and forbs within the ring that are palatable to elk. Clip as close to the ground as elk can graze. Clip only the current year's growth. Avoid clipping when the plants are wet.
- 11. Place clippings in a paper bag marked with the appropriate cage location and date.
- 12. Repeat the clip procedure for a plot of unprotected forage outside the caged area. Conditions should be as similar as possible to those within the cage (e.g., species diversity, soils, slope, etc.). Avoid clipping within 15 feet of the cage since elk are often attracted to the cages and tend to graze more heavily near them. If possible, the same person that clips inside the cage should also clip outside the cage.
- 13. Use an oven to dry the clippings for three days at 105°.
- 14. Use a laboratory scale to weigh the dry clippings. Be sure to subtract the weight of the bag from your measurements.
- 15. Calculate the percent utilization for each vegetation type sampled, combined production within cage, combined production outside cage, combined forage grazed, and combined utilization (see Data Calculations below).

MANAGEMENT IMPLICATIONS

These procedures will result in numbers representing total elk grazing in the various GMUs. But, what do the numbers mean? How do they help in the management of elk? In order to make comparisons from year to year and develop management guidelines, the department has established some "usage thresholds," which are the maximum amount of grazing that habitats can sustain. Biologists look at how much the animals are eating (intensity) as well as how much of the area is being grazed (extent). If both the intensity and the extent of the grazing are too high, the population may be too large.

The table below describes the threshold values. In most areas, if more than half of the monitored sites within a GMU have more than 25% use, the elk population should be reduced. Unfortunately, this decision is not always so cut and dried. Some areas of the state are also legally grazed by cattle. To account for increased grazing pressure by domestic livestock, the intensity threshold has been lowered to 13% in these "obligated" areas.

Table 1: Management Guidelines for All Key Areas Based on Utilization Intensity and Extent

Utilization Intensity	Utilization Extent	Management Guidelines
< 15%	> 50% of the monitored sites	Consider population increase
15 - 25%	> 50% of the monitored sites	Consider maintaining current population
> 25%	> 50% of the monitored sites	Consider population decrease

Before issuing final population management recommendations, there are other factors that biologists need to consider. Is the ratio between male and female elk adequate to continue supporting a population? Do there seem to be enough new calves born to maintain the species in the region? Each of these factors is evaluated to develop the final population guidelines for that year. For some GMUs, biologists may see a need to decrease elk populations through hunting; for others, they may see a need to restrict activity until the population size can recover.

DATA CALCULATIONS

% Utilization = <u>(Total weight inside cage - Total weight outside cage)</u> X 100

Total weight inside cage

Combined Production (lbs/acre) = (weight₁ X % of area₁) + (weight₂ X % of area₂) + (weight₃ X % of area₃)

Combined Forage Grazed (lbs/acre) = Combined Production (inside) - Combined Production (outside)

Combined Utilization (%) = <u>Combined Forage Grazed</u> X 100 Combined Production (inside)

Notes:

¹ Based on the original report, "Herbaceous Forage Production and Utilization Monitoring Program for Consideration in Elk Management in Region I," published by the Arizona Game and Fish Department in October 1997.

² Prior to 2004, the number of key areas within a GMU was between seven and ten. Be advised that the data used in this activity were collected in 2003, so the smaller range should be used.

Forage Monitoring

Forage Monitoring Comprehension Questions

Answer the following questions in complete sentences.

- 1. Describe the key area concept in your own words.
- 2. What is forage production? How does the Arizona Game and Fish Department measure it in Region 1?
- 3. What is forage utilization? How does the Arizona Game and Fish Department measure it in Region 1?
- 4. Why is open-mesh fencing used to enclose the vegetative plot?
- 5. Why might it be necessary to use more than one cage in a key area?
- 6. Explain why one site in a Game Management Unit is not enough.
- 7. What are the advantages of adding more sampling areas? What are the disadvantages?
- 8. Below is representative data from a Game Management Unit somewhere in the state. Based on the criteria outlined in the article, would you make the recommendation to increase the elk population, decrease the population, or keep it at its current levels? Why?

Site #	Combined Production (Inside Cage)	Combined Production (Outside Cage)	Combined Utilization
Α	1000	350	65%
В	400	325	19%
С	550	475	14%
D	2250	1625	28%
E	900	300	67%

9. Is forage utilization the only factor considered when looking at elk management? If so, explain why this is or is not an effective way to manage elk in the state? If not, describe other factors that must be considered.



Forage Monitoring Data

The Arizona Game and Fish Department collected the data shown below from six Game Management Units in 2003. Use your knowledge of forage monitoring to make recommendations for elk management in each GMU.

GMU 1

		Plot 1			Plot 2			Plot 3	
Site	Vegetation	Vegetation	% of	Vegetation	Vegetation	% of	Vegetation	Vegetation	% of
	In	Out	Site	In	Out	Site	In	Out	Site
1	1340	540	10	540	290	40	1160	320	50
2	510	400	20	1190	1190	80			
3	1480	1480	90	340	290	10			
4	400	250	100						
5	2040	2040	70	1820	1510	30			
6	4570	4570	40	780	570	60			
7	2730	1620	20	730	560	80			
8	3310	2860	20	3080	1140	20	1300	970	60
9	2940	2450	40	1140	960	60			

GMU 3B

		Plot 1			Plot 2			Plot 3	
Site	Vegetation	Vegetation	% of	Vegetation	Vegetation	% of	Vegetation	Vegetation	% of
	In	Out	Site	In	Out	Site	In	Out	Site
1	1380	140	100						
2	1350	170	100						
3	1170	1060	100						
4	3080	2240	100						
5	670	610	100						

GMU 3C

	Plot 1		Plot 2			Plot 3			
Site	Vegetation	Vegetation	% of	Vegetation	Vegetation	% of	Vegetation	Vegetation	% of
	In	Out	Site	In	Out	Site	In	Out	Site
1	1160	1160	10	1110	930	90			
2	1190	850	100						
3	1870	1440	75	1760	1690	25			
4	610	530	100						
5	250	250	100						
6	320	310	100						
7	1860	1790	100						
8	1830	1660	100						
9	580	470	100						
10	1850	1710	100			<u> </u>			

GMU 4A

		Plot 1			Plot 2			Plot 3	
Site	Vegetation	Vegetation	% of	Vegetation	Vegetation	% of	Vegetation	Vegetation	% of
	In	Out	Site	In	Out	Site	In	Out	Site
1*	540	540	40	1130	550	60			
2*	490	380	80	1650	140	20			
3*	1130	540	30	370	240	60	260	240	10
4*	680	290	100						
5*	860	560	100						
6**	2200	1500	100						
7**	930	110	100						
8**	1840	810	100						
9**	1030	1030	100						

GMU 27

	Plot 1			Plot 2			Plot 3		
Site	Vegetation	Vegetation	% of	Vegetation	Vegetation	% of	Vegetation	Vegetation	% of
	In	Out	Site	In	Out	Site	In	Out	Site
1	1900	1850	50	1090	360	50			
2	1070	1030	100						
3	550	550	100						
4	3940	3900	50	3530	3390	50			
5	1600	1600	45	1950	1340	20	390	340	35
6	1610	1130	100						
7	2760	2280	100						
8	2010	1970	100						

^{*} Obligated site
** Unobligated site

Forage Monitoring Record

Observers:	Key A	Date: GMU:		
Comments:				
	VEGET	TATION TYPE 1		
↑ N	Cage#: Vegetation Typ Species Present:		% of Key Area:	
	Green Weight (Cage) Average Green Weight ¹ (Out) Forage Utilization =	grams $X 100^2 =$ grams $X 100^2 =$	lbs/acre lbs/acre	
MARK CLIP LOCATION INSIDE CAGE	Dry Weight (Cage) Average Dry Weight (Out) Forage Utilization =	grams X $100^2 =$ grams X $100^2 =$	lbs/acre lbs/acre	
	VEGET	TATION TYPE 2		
↑ N	Cage#: Vegetation Typ Species Present:		% of Key Area:	
	Green Weight (Cage) Average Green Weight ¹ (Out)	grams $X 100^2 = $	lbs/acre lbs/acre	
MARK CLIP LOCATION INSIDE CAGE	Forage Utilization = Dry Weight (Cage) Average Dry Weight (Out) Forage Utilization =	grams X $100^2 =$ grams X $100^2 =$	lbs/acre lbs/acre	
	VEGET	FATION TYPE 3		
↑ N	Cage#: Vegetation Typ Species Present:		% of Key Area:	
	Green Weight (Cage) Average Green Weight (Out) Forage Utilization =	grams $X 100^2 =$	lbs/acre lbs/acre	
MARK CLIP LOCATION INSIDE CAGE	Forage Utilization = Dry Weight (Cage) Average Dry Weight (Out) Forage Utilization =	grams X $100^2 =$ grams X $100^2 =$	lbs/acre lbs/acre	

¹ Average of multiple clips ² 0.96 ft² clipping ring

GREEN WEIGHT	
Combined Production (cage): $[(wt_1 X \% area) + (wt_2 X \% area) + (wt_3 X \% area)] = \underline{\hspace{2cm}}$	_ lbs/acre
Combined Production (outside): $[(wt_1 X \% area) + (wt_2 X \% area) + (wt_3 X \% area)] = \underline{\hspace{1cm}}$	_ lbs/acre
Combined Forage Grazed: Combined Production (cage) – Combined Production (outside) =	lbs/acre
Combined Utilization: Combined Forage Grazed / Combined Production (cage) =	_ %
DRY WEIGHT Combined Production (cage):	11 /
$[(wt_1 X \% area) + (wt_2 X \% area) + (wt_3 X \% area)] = $	_ lbs/acre
Combined Production (outside): [(wt ₁ X % area) + (wt ₂ X % area) + (wt ₃ X % area)] =	_ lbs/acre
Combined Forage Grazed: Combined Production (cage) – Combined Production (outside) =	lbs/acre
Combined Utilization: Combined Forage Grazed / Combined Production (cage) =	_ %
SKETCH OF KEY AREA Include location of major vegetation types, monitoring cages, photo point,	and direction of photo.